

EC recommendation regarding naming convention for XML file

According to chapter 3.3.1.3 (page 80) from XSD user guide, version 5.00, for the exchanged files, the following convention shall be used between the PSPs and the RO NAFA (ANAF):

`<messageType>-<quarter>-<year>-<countryMS>-<pspID>-<partNumber>`

where:

- *messageType* = type of the message (**PMT** for ‘Payment Data message’, **VLD** for ‘Validation Result’ and **PNG** for ‘Ping message’).
- *quarter* = reported quarter.
- *year* = reported year.
- *countryMS* = host/home Member State country code (ISO-3166 Alpha 2).
- *pspID* = if any, identification of the reporting PSP (The BIC or any other business identifier code that unambiguously identifies the Payment Service Provider) according to Article 243d (1a and 1e) and according to Article 321[^]2, paragraph 9, letter a and e from Law 227/2015 – Fiscal Code, with subsequent additions and changes. **For Romania, it was agreed that the PSPID element be completed with the fiscal code for resident PSPs and the record number obtained by non-resident PSPs, used only for the reporting obligation.**
-
- *partNumber* is due to the functional split (see section 3.3.1.1) or submissions of omitted data. The format is “x-y” where:
 - “y” is the total number of files exchanged by the PSP for the requested period. This includes the number of files already submitted plus the number of files yet to be submitted.
 - “x” is the number of the file in the sequence “y”.

The following examples can be given based on the above rules:

1. No split needed: PMT-Q2-2025-RO-123456789-1-1
2. Split needed into three parts:
 - a. PMT-Q2-2025-RO-123456789-1-3
 - b. PMT-Q2-2025-RO-123456789-2-3
 - c. PMT-Q2-2025-RO-123456789-3-3

Note that the unique MessageRefId, as part of the message, must differ for each part.

3. If the PSP already submitted the Payment data information for a given period (e.g. PMT-Q2-2025-RO-123456789-1-1) but it appears that some information was omitted, or erroneously submitted, the PSP can then resubmit, for the same reporting period, the gap while naming the file as follows: PMT-Q2-2025-RO-123456789-1-1-cor1 for the first correction, PMT-Q2-2025-RO-123456789-1-1-cor2 for the second correction.

Note that the naming convention has been defined as a good practice to ease the communication between the National Tax Administrations and the PSPs. This has no business value and therefore is not required by the CESOP system.